

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Kul

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,152	04/27/2001	Osamu Sameshima	43890-513 7309	
7590 05/10/2006			EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			SAJOUS, WESNER	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2628	
		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/843,152	SAMESHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wesner Sajous	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet in NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-13</u> is/are pending in the ap	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received.	on No				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fit 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pr	t of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(rst sentence of the specification of	e) (to a provisional application) rin an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of t	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

This communication is responsive to the amendments and response dated on 4/14/06. Claims 1-3, and 5-12 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuppy et al. (US 6733295) in view of the article entitled "Nview Untethers its Keyboard" assigned to Nview, Accession # UNX19890904S4059 (hereinafter Nview) and further in view of Monroe et al. (US 2002/0097322).

Considering claims 1 and 2, Stuppy discloses a wireless display system (see figs. 1-3) comprises plural personal computers (e.g., item 2-5 of fig. 1) having wireless communication function (via server 10, see col. 6, lines 34-39); and a wireless display (1, fig. 1) having wireless communication function and a display function (e.g., teacher

Art Unit: 2628

display, see fig. 2), wherein screens of some of the plurality of personal computers are displayed simultaneously in the display unit of the wireless display (see fig. 2, wherein the teacher display is shown to represent the displays of students 1-3 on the teacher's screen). See col. 4, lines 35-38, and col. 5, lines 3-56.

Stuppy fails to teach the wireless display has an input function, and the plural personal computers are operated through wireless communication by using the input function of the wireless display, and wherein display data with respect to an operation data created by a pointing device of the wireless display is displayed on a screen of at least one of the plural personal computers.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that such a practice is well known in the art. For example, a system administrator or a network monitoring system, when is give access, is able to remotely control the software of a user/employee computer.

Further, Nview discloses wireless display has an input function, and the plural personal computers are operated through wireless communication by using the input function of the wireless display, and wherein display data with respect to an operation data created by a pointing device of the wireless display is displayed on the screen of at least one personal computers (using a wireless keyboard that can control several different computers, and/or allowing a network manager to monitor different office PCs with a PDA or other handheld device. See attached copy of article). The purpose of utilizing Nview's wireless keyboard with the Stuppy's wireless computer to control the operation of different personal computers is to allow the main control unit of the personal

Art Unit: 2628

computer to interpret the input function made by the wireless keyboard connected with wireless display of Stuppy as if it were made at the personal computer.

In addition, Stuppy fails to show the screen's are displayed simultaneously by dividing the display unit of the wireless display.

Nonetheless, the Examiner again takes official to suggest that such a function is well known in the art. For example, a WiJET.G device is known in the art to allow a teacher or other during a presentation to centrally control the wireless link between multiple student computers and the displays so that students can share their information with each other on a big screen projector. Further, Monroe teaches the functional equivalence for simultaneously displays a plurality of screens on a display unit (e.g., display signals or videos of multiple different cameras on a single screen. See paragraphs 79, 97 of pages 6 and 7). In addition, Monroe at fig. 6 discloses the simultaneous display of a plurality of screens (104A to 104D) on a display unit (100) by dividing the display unit of the wireless display (see paragraph 97). Further, Monroe discloses the wireless display (i.e., the primary monitor) has an input function (i.e., a double left and right click or a drag/drop operation and/or a point-click-and-drag feature using mouse pointer) to operate the plural computers (e.g., to select, display and control any of the secondary monitors). See paragraphs 21-22, and 24-26. This implies that the plural personal computers (or the secondary screens or cameras) are operated by using the input function of the wireless display (or primary display). It is to be noted that the cameras signals can be transmitted to the display via wireless communication (see paragraph 61), wherein the each of the video camera signals is characterized

Art Unit: 2628

herein as an independent screen, which can be associated with PC monitor screen, as implied at paragraph 81 in page 6.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to modify the wireless communication and students/teacher interaction disclosed in Stuppy and NView to include multiple divided screens displayed on a single display unit with input that operates the plural monitors via wireless communication as taught in the same conventional manner by Monroe, in order to permit conservation of bandwidth requirement and allow a user to monitor more than one screen simultaneously. See Monroe's paragraphs 44 and 57-58.

Re claim 3, it is noted that since the user of the main display in Monroe is able to switch over to view of a selected camera display as he/she desires (paragraphs 94 and 97), a specific code or indication must be provided via the user input device in order for the main display to recognize and display the desired screen's signal. Hence, Monroe teaches the features of claim 3. See claim 1 for reason of obviousness.

Re claims 6 and 8, it is noted that since in Stuppy, it is provided that the teacher's display has a pen-based tablet input (see col. 4, lines 32-33), it is obvious that the teacher display encompasses a touch panel with a display function, as claimed, on which the teacher may interact with desired student's computer by touching the touch panel.

Re claim 7, the claimed "common operation screen... in the plural personal computers" is met by Stuppy's col. 5, lines 3-35.

Re claims 9-10, It is noted that since in Monroe an icon is used to confirm the selection of a specific camera screen, and upon the user selection a screen camera is tied to a highlighted camera to identify the specific event caused by the camera (see paragraph 101 of page 8); thus, the wireless display of Monroe provides the functional equivalence for outputting an indicator, which includes changing the color of the corresponding screen of the display unit, in response to an occurrence at a personal computer as claimed. Wherein the highlighting and display functions correspond to the changes of color and the icon is characterized as the indicator. Therefore, Monroe when combined with the Stuppy and Nview references meet the limitations of claims 9 and 10. See claim 1 for reason of obviousness.

Re claim 11, the claimed "occurrence includes a specified key being entered..." is met by Stuppy's col. 5, lines 3-14.

Re claim 12, the claimed "display includes a processing unit for converting data received from a personal computer into information identifying the personal computer" is equivalently met by item 12 of fig. 3 in Stuppy. Note that it is industry standard for personal computers to include processing unit to convert and process information for display, either locally or remotely.

Re claim 13, Stuppy discloses inputted data is displayed in the display unit of the wireless display. See col. 5, lines 10-14.

Art Unit: 2628

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuppy and Nview in view of Monroe, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Panasik (US Pat. 6219553).

Re claim 5, Stuppy, NView and Monroe disclose most claimed features of the invention, but they fail to teach that the plurality of computers, which are wireless, are capable to communicate between themselves.

Panasik teaches the plurality of computers, which are wireless, are capable to communicate between themselves (see col. 3, lines 44-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stuppy, Nview and Monroe references to include the features of Panasik, in order allow easy interaction between each of the students of the computer users.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajous Wesner whose telephone number is 571-272-7791. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Fridays between 11:00 AM and 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on 571-272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wesner Sajous -WS-

5/6/06